Old Dominion University Technology Evaluation Worksheets

DISCLOSURE DATA				
Identification #:	Tech Category			
Title of Invention:	I			
Inventors:				
Number of Inventors:		ODU has 100% Invention Ownership?		
Key Dates:		□ No		
	Invention First Documented Public Disclosure Disclosure Submission to OTM Provisional Patent Full Patent			
Licensing Activity:	None			
	Interest by: License agreement(s) with:			
Statutory Bar Concern	?			
	Yes No			
Reviewer:		Date:		
Lisensing Detentiols	DISPOSITION	RECOMMENDATION		
Licensing Potential: High Med-High Med-Low Low		Action: Pursue Partnership Return to Inventor No Action Other		
Recommendation Sumr	nary (highlight any time-sensitive a	actions):		
ANALYSIS SUMMARY				
Strictly Confidential Material – Do Not Distribute				

Rank each analysis factor. The highe	er the nun	nber, the		romising	the tec		with res	pect to t	hat ana	lysis factor.
Note your rationale and include it in the	e disposit	ion reco	mmenda	ation sec	tion.					
	LOW									HIGH
IP Status	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Market Potential	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Level of Development Ease of Implementation	1 1	2 2	3 3	4 4	5 5	6 6	7 7	8 8	9 9	10 10
Timing	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	TE	CHNO	LOGY	OVER	VIEW					
Basic Description, Development Sta										
Relevant patents and literature repo		PRIOR								
Relevant patents and interature rept					uon.					
		KET RI								
Applications, market attributes, play	yers, con	npetitior	n, poten	tial lice	nsees, e	etc.:				
		AT	TACHN	IENTS						

Strictly Confidential Material – Do Not Distribute Office of Research

Old Dominion University Technology Evaluation Worksheets

List of attached items (from IP and Market sections):

COMMERCIAL POTENTIAL (+) FAVORABLE (0) NEUTRAL (-) UNFAVORABLE Ability to define product (i.e., what is for sale?) Perceived need Identity of end user Market size Maturity of market Competitive advantage/product differentiation Prospective licensee(s) identified Liability considerations Predisposition of industry to licensing **INVENTOR PROFILE** Cooperative/will serve as champion Industry contacts **Realistic expectations** Success with previous disclosures Credibility/recognition in field Research funding and direction Conflicting obligations

SCIENTIFIC/TECHNICAL MERITS

Invention is adequately defined in disclosure		
Supporting data are available		
Utility shown (i.e., solves a problem)		
Core technology versus improvement		
Features of invention versus limitations		

PROPRIETARY POSITION/PATENTABILITY ISSUES

Patentability of invention (new, useful, non-obvious)		
Breadth and strength of claims		
Freedom to practice (i.e., other dominant patents?)		

Old Dominion University Technology Evaluation Worksheets

Possibility of reverse engineering	
Ability to detect infringement	
Ability to withstand litigation	
Known prior art exists	
STAGE OF DEV	ELOPMENT
Only a concept	
Reduced to practice/prototype available	
Manufacturing feasibility (facilities, equipment, etc.)	
Clinical data available	
Inventor cooperation required	
FINANCIAL A	NALYSIS
Cost of patenting	
Financial support from licensees	
Possibility of sponsored research	
Anticipated license/royalty income	