1. Chairs Steering Committee
   1. David met with them last week
   2. They were concerned with how changes are made to the Handbook
   3. Minutes are not current on the website
      1. Rusty Waterford – suggested to contact Candice Gooden
      2. AWP – manages university website
      3. Issue Log on the Faculty Senate Website
         1. Should link to the initial issue posted
         2. Status seems to be reasonably up to date but not completely
         3. Chairs of Faculty Senate Committees could reach out to the Chairs Steering Committee if the policy will directly impact a Chairs’ responsibility
   4. Chairs were confused about the Teaching effectiveness policy and what can and can’t be used in an evaluation
2. Teaching Effectiveness Policy – this needs to be THE issue in the next meeting with the Provost
   1. Current policy is not helpful for anyone who wants to improve their teaching
   2. If the Student Opinion Surveys (SOPs) are truly THE measurement of teaching effectiveness, then there has to be some consequence to students for doing or not doing them. Otherwise, the results will always be uninterpretable.
   3. Some sort of pre- and post-instruction measure would be more valid
   4. What is the ACTUAL purpose of the SOPs?
      1. This harkens back to the validity of the questions
   5. We are not the only institution struggling with this issue
   6. Teaching effectiveness needs to be evaluated on a much broader spectrum
3. What is the plan for the College of Continuing Education
   1. What is the plan moving forward?
4. The policy on tuition grants and waivers?
   1. Wie’s committee is still working on this
   2. Differentiation
      1. Is the student being paid to DO something?
         1. Tuition waiver
      2. Is the student not being required to DO something?
         1. Tuition grant
5. Issues with Office of Research/Morris Foster
   1. Seems to be a disconnect in actually aiding researchers be more successful with research
   2. Are there plans to evaluate ODURF?
      1. Outside of the Office of Research
6. Aspirations for R1 status
   1. How far are we as an institution from this?
   2. Is this a fair aspiration?
   3. David will bring this topic up at the Strategic Planning Steering Committee