

- Graduate Student
- PostDocs/ Residents
- Undergraduate Student

Poster # _____

2008 ANNUAL RESEARCH EXPOSITION

Judging Criteria and Rating Form

Poster Presentations

In order to be judged, all posters must abide by the judges' timeframes for the Morning and Afternoon Sessions. All student posters in the Morning Session must be mounted no later than by 7:45 a.m. All student posters in the Afternoon Session must be mounted no later than by 11:45 a.m. Only posters by currently enrolled students at one of the Virginia institutions are eligible for awards. Only ONE poster per lead author will be judged.

POSTER TITLE _____

EVALUATOR _____ AFFILIATION _____

Circle the rating: 1 (Needs Improvement) 2 (Acceptable) 3 (Good) 4 (Exceptional)

1. Project **PURPOSE/HYPOTHESIS** was clearly stated.
 - 1 (Needs Improvement)
 - 2 (Acceptable)
 - 3 (Good)
 - 4 (Exceptional)
2. An appropriate **RESEARCH DESIGN** was used and clearly stated.
 - 1 (Needs Improvement)
 - 2 (Acceptable)
 - 3 (Good)
 - 4 (Exceptional)
3. The data were collected and analyzed, and the **RESULTS** were presented clearly.
 - 1 (Needs Improvement)
 - 2 (Acceptable)
 - 3 (Good)
 - 4 (Exceptional)
4. **CONCLUSIONS** were consistent with the aims/purpose of the study.
 - 1 (Needs Improvement)
 - 2 (Acceptable)
 - 3 (Good)
 - 4 (Exceptional)
5. The **QUALITY** of the POSTER. Amount of information, readability, clarity, logical flow of information across poster.
 - 1 (Needs Improvement)
 - 2 (Acceptable)
 - 3 (Good)
 - 4 (Exceptional)
6. The **OVERALL QUALITY** of the POSTER presentation, ANSWERS to questions, and Scientific Merit/research contribution to the community.
 - 1 (Needs Improvement)
 - 2 (Acceptable)
 - 3 (Good)
 - 4 (Exceptional)

TOTAL SCORE _____