## Lecture 10

From last discussion, QM has both wave and fluid aspects, is there a connection betwen these 2?
Consider a state in the $\vec{r}$ representation (plane wave) to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(\vec{r})=A(\vec{r}) e^{i S(\vec{r}) / \hbar} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

were $A(\vec{r})=A$ and $S(\vec{r})=S$ are taken to be real functions. Consider also Schrödinger's equation with a potential $V(\vec{r})$ :

$$
i \hbar \frac{\partial \psi(\vec{r}, t)}{\partial t}=-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \nabla^{2} \psi+V(\vec{r})
$$

and plug-in the ansatz:

$$
\begin{align*}
i \hbar\left[\dot{A} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S}+\frac{i}{\hbar} A \dot{S} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S}\right] & =-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \nabla\left[\nabla \cdot A e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S}+\frac{i}{\hbar} A \nabla S e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S}\right]+V A e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S} \\
& =-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m}\left[\nabla^{2} A e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S}+\frac{2 i}{\hbar} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S} \nabla A \cdot \nabla S e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S}+\frac{i}{\hbar} A \nabla^{2} S e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S}-\frac{1}{\hbar^{2}} A(\nabla S)^{2} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S}\right]+V A e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S} \\
i \hbar\left[\dot{A}+\frac{i}{\hbar} A \dot{S}\right] & =-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m}\left[\nabla^{2} A+\frac{2 i}{\hbar} \nabla A \cdot \nabla S+\frac{i}{\hbar} A \nabla^{2} S-\frac{1}{\hbar^{2}} A(\nabla S)^{2}\right]+V A \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $A$ and $S$ are real functions, every term is either real or imaginary. Equate first all imaginary terms, this gives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
i \hbar \dot{A} & =-\frac{i \hbar}{m} \nabla A \cdot \nabla S-\frac{i \hbar}{2 m} A \nabla^{2} S \\
\dot{A} & =-\frac{1}{m} \nabla A \cdot \nabla S-\frac{1}{2 m} A \nabla^{2} S
\end{aligned}
$$

multiplying both sides by $2 A$

$$
2 A \dot{A}=-\frac{2 A}{m} \nabla A \cdot \nabla S-\frac{1}{m} A^{2} \nabla^{2} S,
$$

allows to rewrite the expression in the following way

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial A^{2}}{\partial t}=-\frac{1}{m} \nabla\left(A^{2} \nabla S\right) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This expression seems neat but what does it represent? Note first that $|\psi|^{2}=A^{2}$ is the probability density $\rho$; then, what is $\nabla S$ ? it can be regarded as momentum, since $S$ for a free particle is $S=\vec{p} \cdot \vec{r}$. Then $A^{2} \nabla S$ is the current density ${ }^{1}$. So Eq. 3 simply restates the continuity equation

$$
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}=-\nabla \vec{J}
$$

which we know to be correct.
Equate now all the real terms in eq.(2), this gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
-A \dot{S} & =-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m}\left(\nabla^{2} A-\frac{1}{\hbar^{2}} A(\nabla S)^{2}\right)+V A \\
& =-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \nabla^{2} A+\frac{1}{2 m} A(\nabla S)^{2}+V A
\end{aligned}
$$

An approximation is to be made in here. Assume that the first term can be ingored, since it is the only term that contains $\hbar$ (and even squared). This is equivalent to asking the gradient of $A$ to change really slow over 1 wavelength, or the envelope to be more less linear over several wavelengths. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
-A \dot{S} & =\frac{1}{2 m} A(\nabla S)^{2}+V A \\
\dot{S} & =-\frac{1}{2 m}(\nabla S)^{2}-V \\
& =-\frac{m}{2}(\vec{v})^{2}-V
\end{aligned}
$$

the first term looks like the kinetic energy. How is this equation interpreted? Take the gradient of the whole equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla \dot{S}=-m(\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \vec{v}-\nabla V \\
& \frac{d \vec{p}}{d t}=\left.\frac{\partial \vec{p}}{\partial t}\right|_{\text {position }}+\vec{v} \cdot \nabla \vec{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

this is the convective derivative, the second term tells how the momentum of a given point (tiny volume) within the fluid is changing as the point moves in the direction of $\vec{v}$. Thus Schrödinger equation tells that momentum follows Newton's law. The probability density behaves like a fluid following Newton's law. We've started with a wave picture of QM and checked how it supports a fluid picture.

## Wigner function

## Phase space

In classical mechanics, for a point particle you need to know both its initial position and its initial momentum to know how it will move in the future. This

[^0]corresponds to a point in 6-dimensional phase space. Similarly, for a fluid (an infinite number of "point particles"), just knowing the (probability) density $\rho(\vec{r})$ doesn't tell you its future state. You need to know also the flow which is proportional to the momentum, i.e. you need the 6 dimensional phase space density $\rho(\vec{r}, \vec{p})$.

For a particle, Hamilton's equations of motion completely specify its trajectory in phase space, whereas for a fluid, we can pick a (small) volume in phase space and then Hamilton's equation will tell us how it will evolve in the future. Louville's theorem states the following:

The volume occupied by a "fluid" in phase space is conserved if the Hamiltonian is independent of time.

This theorem is for instance important for accelerator design and optics (where one can reduce the spatial extend of a light beam, but only by simultaneously increasing its divergence).
Is it then possible to introduce a function $\rho(\vec{r}, \vec{p}) \rightarrow W(\vec{r}, \vec{p})$ (Wigner function), so that it gives the probability to find a particle with $\vec{r}$ and $\vec{p}$ ? This seems to be in conflict with QM, since one cannot measure momentum and position with simultaneously with arbitrary position. However, it is still useful to have a Wigner function that mimics some of the aspects of a true probability distribution, in particular for the calculation of expectation values (both of commuting and non-commuting pairs of operators).
The Wigner function is now defined in 3-dim (3 coordinates and 3 momenta):

$$
W(\vec{r}, \vec{p})=\frac{1}{(2 \pi \hbar)^{3}} \iiint_{\text {Phase Space }} d \vec{r}^{\prime} e^{-i \vec{p} \cdot \vec{r}^{\prime} \hbar} \psi^{*}\left(\vec{r}-\frac{\vec{r}}{2}\right) \psi\left(\vec{r}+\frac{\vec{r}^{\prime}}{2}\right)
$$

but lets work on it in just 1-dim (1 spatial coordinate and 1 momentum):

$$
W(x, p)=\frac{1}{2 \pi \hbar} \int d x^{\prime} e^{-i p x^{\prime} / \hbar} \psi^{*}\left(x-\frac{x^{\prime}}{2}\right) \psi\left(x+\frac{x^{\prime}}{2}\right) .
$$

What should this function satisfy if it is to be taken as a joint probability density?

- It should be real: $W=W^{*}$

This is already satisfied, for taking the complex conjugate gives

$$
W(x, p)=\frac{1}{2 \pi \hbar} \int d x^{\prime} e^{i p x^{\prime} / \hbar} \psi\left(x-\frac{x^{\prime}}{2}\right) \psi^{*}\left(x+\frac{x^{\prime}}{2}\right)
$$

and it is always possible to take $x^{\prime \prime}=-x^{\prime}$, in which case we have $W=W^{*}$.

- $W(p, x)$ should be positive definite (Probability should range in $0<\rho<$ $1)$.

This is not true, since Wigner function can also be negative, but we just ignore this fact.
Integrate now the Wigner function over all momenta

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d p W(x, p)=\frac{1}{2 \pi \hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d x^{\prime} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d p e^{-i p x^{\prime} / \hbar} \psi\left(x-\frac{x^{\prime}}{2}\right) \psi^{*}\left(x+\frac{x^{\prime}}{2}\right)
$$

Recall that

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d p e^{-i p x^{\prime} / \hbar}=2 \pi \hbar \delta\left(x^{\prime}\right)
$$

then it is found

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d p W(x, p)=\psi(x) \psi^{*}(x)=|\psi(x)|^{2}
$$

which is in fact the probability density in configuration space.
Integrate now the Wigner function over all coordinates

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d x W(x, p)=\frac{1}{2 \pi \hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d x^{\prime} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d x e^{-i p x^{\prime} / \hbar} \psi\left(x-\frac{x^{\prime}}{2}\right) \psi^{*}\left(x+\frac{x^{\prime}}{2}\right)
$$

this is not straightforward since $\psi$ and $\psi^{*}$ also depend on $x$. Introduce, however, the following change of variables

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}=x+\frac{x^{\prime}}{2} \\
& x_{2}=x-\frac{x^{\prime}}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

the inverse transformation is then

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{\prime} & =x_{1}-x_{2} \\
x & =\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the Jacobian for this transformation is

$$
\left|\frac{\partial\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)}{\partial\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)}\right|=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial x}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial x}{\partial x_{2}} \\
\frac{\partial x^{\prime}}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial x^{\prime}}{\partial x_{2}}
\end{array}\right|=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right|=1
$$

thus $d x d x^{\prime}=d x_{1} d x_{2}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d x W(x, p) & =\frac{1}{2 \pi \hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d x_{1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d x_{2} e^{-i p x_{1} / \hbar} e^{i p x_{2} / \hbar} \psi^{*}\left(x_{2}\right) \psi\left(x_{1}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \hbar}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d x_{1} e^{-i p x_{1} / \hbar} \psi\left(x_{1}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \hbar}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d x_{2} e^{i p x_{2} / \hbar} \psi^{*}\left(x_{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \hbar}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d x_{1} e^{-i p x_{1} / \hbar} \psi\left(x_{1}\right) \cdot\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \hbar}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d x_{2} e^{-i p x_{2} / \hbar} \psi\left(x_{2}\right)\right]^{*} \\
& =\tilde{\psi}(p) \tilde{\psi}^{*}(p) \\
& =|\tilde{\psi}(p)|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Given the Wigner function $W(x, p)$, it is possible to calculate $\left\langle p^{n}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle x^{n}\right\rangle$. What is

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi \hbar} \int d p p W(x, p) ?
$$

Taking $W(x, p)$ as the joint probability density, this should give the expectation value of $p$ at a specific point $x$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2 \pi \hbar} \int d p p W(x, p) & =\frac{1}{2 \pi \hbar} \int d p \int d x^{\prime}\left[-\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\prime}} e^{-i p x^{\prime} / \hbar} \psi^{*}\left(x-\frac{x^{\prime}}{2}\right) \psi\left(x+\frac{x^{\prime}}{2}\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi \hbar} \frac{\hbar}{i} \int d x^{\prime} \int d p e^{-i p x^{\prime} / \hbar} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\prime}} \psi^{*}\left(x-\frac{x^{\prime}}{2}\right) \psi\left(x+\frac{x^{\prime}}{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi \hbar} \frac{\hbar}{i} \int d x^{\prime} \int d p e^{-i p x^{\prime} / \hbar}\left(-\left.\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \psi^{*}}{\partial x}\right|_{x-x^{\prime} / 2}+\left.\frac{1}{2} \psi^{*} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}\right|_{x+x^{\prime} / 2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where integration by parts was used in the second line. Integration over $p$ gives again the delta function $2 \pi \hbar \delta\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ and finally,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2 \pi \hbar} \int d p p W(x, p) & =\frac{\hbar}{2 i}\left(-\frac{\partial \psi^{*}}{\partial x} \psi+\psi^{*} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}\right) \\
& =m j(x) \\
& =p \cdot \rho(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we apply the definition of the current density $j(x)$ and our interpretation of it in terms of local density times local momentum.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ This can also be proven exactly by applying the definition of the probability current density to our Ansatz for the wave function, Eq. 1.

