## Lecture 10

From last discussion, QM has both wave and fluid aspects, is there a connection betwen these 2?

Consider a state in the  $\vec{r}$  representation (plane wave) to be

$$\psi(\vec{r}) = A(\vec{r})e^{iS(\vec{r})/\hbar},\tag{1}$$

were  $A(\vec{r}) = A$  and  $S(\vec{r}) = S$  are taken to be real functions. Consider also Schrödinger's equation with a potential  $V(\vec{r})$ :

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi(\vec{r},t)}{\partial t}=-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2\psi+V(\vec{r})$$

and plug-in the ansatz:

$$\begin{split} i\hbar \left[ \dot{A}e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S} + \frac{i}{\hbar}A\dot{S}e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S} \right] &= -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla \left[ \nabla \cdot Ae^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S} + \frac{i}{\hbar}A\nabla Se^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S} \right] + VAe^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S} \\ &= -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left[ \nabla^2 Ae^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S} + \frac{2i}{\hbar}e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S}\nabla A \cdot \nabla Se^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S} + \frac{i}{\hbar}A\nabla^2 Se^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S} - \frac{1}{\hbar^2}A(\nabla S)^2 e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S} \right] + VAe^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S} \\ i\hbar \left[ \dot{A} + \frac{i}{\hbar}A\dot{S} \right] &= -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left[ \nabla^2 A + \frac{2i}{\hbar}\nabla A \cdot \nabla S + \frac{i}{\hbar}A\nabla^2 S - \frac{1}{\hbar^2}A(\nabla S)^2 \right] + VA \\ \end{split}$$

Since A and S are real functions, every term is either real or imaginary. Equate first all imaginary terms, this gives:

$$\begin{split} i\hbar\dot{A} &= -\frac{i\hbar}{m}\nabla A\cdot\nabla S - \frac{i\hbar}{2m}A\nabla^2 S\\ \dot{A} &= -\frac{1}{m}\nabla A\cdot\nabla S - \frac{1}{2m}A\nabla^2 S, \end{split}$$

multiplying both sides by 2A

$$2A\dot{A} = -\frac{2A}{m}\nabla A \cdot \nabla S - \frac{1}{m}A^2\nabla^2 S,$$

allows to rewrite the expression in the following way

$$\frac{\partial A^2}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{m} \nabla \left( A^2 \nabla S \right). \tag{3}$$

This expression seems neat but what does it represent? Note first that  $|\psi|^2 = A^2$  is the probability density  $\rho$ ; then, what is  $\nabla S$ ? it can be regarded as momentum, since S for a free particle is  $S = \vec{p} \cdot \vec{r}$ . Then  $A^2 \nabla S$  is the current density <sup>1</sup>. So Eq. 3 simply restates the continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = -\nabla \bar{J}$$

which we know to be correct.

Equate now all the real terms in eq.(2), this gives

$$-A\dot{S} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left(\nabla^2 A - \frac{1}{\hbar^2} A(\nabla S)^2\right) + VA$$
$$= -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 A + \frac{1}{2m} A(\nabla S)^2 + VA$$

An approximation is to be made in here. Assume that the first term can be ingored, since it is the only term that contains  $\hbar$  (and even squared). This is equivalent to asking the gradient of A to change really slow over 1 wavelength, or the envelope to be more less linear over several wavelengths. Then

$$-A\dot{S} = \frac{1}{2m}A(\nabla S)^2 + VA$$
$$\dot{S} = -\frac{1}{2m}(\nabla S)^2 - V$$
$$= -\frac{m}{2}(\vec{v})^2 - V$$

the first term looks like the kinetic energy. How is this equation interpreted? Take the gradient of the whole equation:

$$\begin{split} \nabla \dot{S} &= -m(\vec{v}\cdot\nabla)\vec{v} - \nabla V \\ \frac{d\vec{p}}{dt} &= \left. \frac{\partial \vec{p}}{\partial t} \right|_{nosition} + \vec{v}\cdot\nabla \vec{p}, \end{split}$$

this is the convective derivative, the second term tells how the momentum of a given point (tiny volume) within the fluid is changing as the point moves in the direction of  $\vec{v}$ . Thus Schrödinger equation tells that momentum follows Newton's law. The probability density behaves like a fluid following Newton's law. We've started with a wave picture of QM and checked how it supports a fluid picture.

## Wigner function

## Phase space

In classical mechanics, for a point particle you need to know both its initial position *and* its initial momentum to know how it will move in the future. This

 $<sup>^1{\</sup>rm This}$  can also be proven exactly by applying the definition of the probability current density to our Ansatz for the wave function, Eq. 1.

corresponds to a point in 6-dimensional phase space. Similarly, for a fluid (an infinite number of "point particles"), just knowing the (probability) density  $\rho(\vec{r})$  doesn't tell you its future state. You need to know also the flow which is proportional to the momentum, i.e. you need the 6 dimensional phase space density  $\rho(\vec{r}, \vec{p})$ .

For a particle, Hamilton's equations of motion completely specify its trajectory in phase space, whereas for a fluid, we can pick a (small) volume in phase space and then Hamilton's equation will tell us how it will evolve in the future. Louville's theorem states the following:

The volume occupied by a "fluid" in phase space is conserved if the Hamiltonian is independent of time.

This theorem is for instance important for accelerator design and optics (where one can reduce the spatial extend of a light beam, but only by simultaneously increasing its divergence).

Is it then possible to introduce a function  $\rho(\vec{r}, \vec{p}) \to W(\vec{r}, \vec{p})$  (Wigner function), so that it gives the probability to find a particle with  $\vec{r}$  and  $\vec{p}$ ? This seems to be in conflict with QM, since one cannot measure momentum and position with simultaneously with arbitrary position. However, it is still useful to have a Wigner function that mimics *some* of the aspects of a true probability distribution, in particular for the calculation of expectation values (both of commuting and non-commuting pairs of operators).

The Wigner function is now defined in 3-dim (3 coordinates and 3 momenta):

$$W(\vec{r},\vec{p}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} \iiint_{\text{Phase Space}} d\vec{r}' e^{-i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{r}'\hbar} \psi^* \left(\vec{r}-\frac{\vec{r}}{2}\right) \psi\left(\vec{r}+\frac{\vec{r}'}{2}\right)$$

but lets work on it in just 1-dim (1 spatial coordinate and 1 momentum):

$$W(x,p) = \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int dx' e^{-ipx'/\hbar} \psi^* \left(x - \frac{x'}{2}\right) \psi\left(x + \frac{x'}{2}\right).$$

What should this function satisfy if it is to be taken as a joint probability density?

• It should be real:  $W = W^*$ 

This is already satisfied, for taking the complex conjugate gives

$$W(x,p) = \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int dx' e^{ipx'/\hbar} \psi\left(x - \frac{x'}{2}\right) \psi^*\left(x + \frac{x'}{2}\right)$$

and it is always possible to take x'' = -x', in which case we have  $W = W^*$ .

• W(p, x) should be positive definite (Probability should range in  $0 < \rho < 1$ ).

This is not true, since Wigner function can also be negative, but we just ignore this fact.

Integrate now the Wigner function over all momenta

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp W(x,p) = \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx' \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp e^{-ipx'/\hbar} \psi\left(x - \frac{x'}{2}\right) \psi^*\left(x + \frac{x'}{2}\right).$$

Recall that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp e^{-ipx'/\hbar} = 2\pi\hbar\delta(x'),$$

then it is found

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp W(x,p) = \psi(x) \psi^*(x) = \left|\psi(x)\right|^2,$$

which is in fact the probability density in configuration space.

Integrate now the Wigner function over all coordinates

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx W(x,p) = \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx' \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx e^{-ipx'/\hbar} \psi\left(x - \frac{x'}{2}\right) \psi^*\left(x + \frac{x'}{2}\right)$$

this is not straightforward since  $\psi$  and  $\psi^*$  also depend on x. Introduce, however, the following change of variables

$$x_1 = x + \frac{x'}{2}$$
$$x_2 = x - \frac{x'}{2}$$

the inverse transformation is then

$$x' = x_1 - x_2$$
$$x = \frac{1}{2}(x_1 + x_2)$$

and the Jacobian for this transformation is

$$\left|\frac{\partial(x,x')}{\partial(x_1,x_2)}\right| = \left|\begin{array}{c}\frac{\partial x}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial x}{\partial x_2}\\ \frac{\partial x}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial x'}{\partial x_2}\end{array}\right| = \left|\begin{array}{c}\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}\\ 1 & -1\end{array}\right| = 1$$

thus  $dxdx' = dx_1dx_2$  and

$$\begin{split} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx W(x,p) &= \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_2 e^{-ipx_1/\hbar} e^{ipx_2/\hbar} \psi^*(x_2) \psi(x_1) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_1 e^{-ipx_1/\hbar} \psi(x_1) \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_2 e^{ipx_2/\hbar} \psi^*(x_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_1 e^{-ipx_1/\hbar} \psi(x_1) \cdot \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_2 e^{-ipx_2/\hbar} \psi(x_2) \right]^* \\ &= \tilde{\psi}(p) \tilde{\psi}^*(p) \\ &= \left| \tilde{\psi}(p) \right|^2 \end{split}$$

Given the Wigner function W(x, p), it is possible to calculate  $\langle p^n \rangle$  and  $\langle x^n \rangle$ . What is

$$\frac{1}{2\pi\hbar}\int dppW(x,p)?$$

Taking W(x, p) as the joint probability density, this should give the expectation value of p at a specific point x:

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int dp p W(x,p) &= \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int dp \int dx' \left[ -\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x'} e^{-ipx'/\hbar} \psi^* \left( x - \frac{x'}{2} \right) \psi \left( x + \frac{x'}{2} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \frac{\hbar}{i} \int dx' \int dp e^{-ipx'/\hbar} \frac{\partial}{\partial x'} \psi^* \left( x - \frac{x'}{2} \right) \psi \left( x + \frac{x'}{2} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \frac{\hbar}{i} \int dx' \int dp e^{-ipx'/\hbar} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \psi^*}{\partial x} \psi \right|_{x-x'/2} + \frac{1}{2} \psi^* \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \Big|_{x+x'/2} \right) \end{split}$$

where integration by parts was used in the second line. Integration over p gives again the delta function  $2\pi\hbar\delta(x')$  and finally,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int dp p W(x,p) = \frac{\hbar}{2i} \left( -\frac{\partial \psi^*}{\partial x} \psi + \psi^* \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \right)$$
$$= m j(x)$$
$$= p \cdot \rho(x)$$

where we apply the definition of the current density j(x) and our interpretation of it in terms of local density times local momentum.